

An exploration of the Positive Integer Solutions of the Arithmetic Function Equation

$$t\varphi(n) + \varphi_2^2(n) = S(SL(n^{31}))$$

Yanlin Guan^{1,2}, Caiyu Xie¹, Yongjun Wu¹

¹School of Mathematical Sciences, Guizhou Normal University, Gui'an New District 550025, China

²Guizhou Key Laboratory of NewGen Cyberspace Security, Guiyang 550001, China

Abstract—In this paper, using the definitions and properties of the Smarandache function $S(n)$, the Smarandache LCM function $SL(n)$, the Euler function $\varphi(n)$, and the generalized Euler function $\varphi_2(n)$, together with elementary number theory methods, we study the solvability of the arithmetic function equation $t\varphi(n) + \varphi_2^2(n) = S(SL(n^{31}))$, where $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. It is obtained that the equation has positive integer solutions only when $t = 1, 2, 4, 6, 10, 14, 15, 20, 23, 31$, and all positive integer solutions (t, n) are given $(t, n) = (1, 1), (2, 40), (4, 48), (6, 27), (6, 54), (10, 24), (14, 16), (15, 12), (20, 9), (20, 18), (23, 8), (31, 2)$.

Keywords—Smarandache function $S(n)$, Smarandache LCM function $SL(n)$, generalized Euler function $\varphi_2(n)$, positive integer solutions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Euler's totient function $\varphi(n)$ is defined as, for any positive integer n , the number of positive integers in the sequence $1, 2, \dots, n-1$ that are coprime to n . $\varphi_e(n)$ is a generalized Euler totient function, defined as the number of integers in the sequence $1, 2, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{e} \right\rfloor$ that are coprime to n . When $e = 1$,

the generalized Euler totient function $\varphi_e(n)$ is the Euler totient function $\varphi(n)$. There have been many studies on the Euler totient function and the generalized Euler totient function. For instance, Niu Jiaying^[1] et al. studied the solvability of the arithmetic functional equation

$\varphi_8(n) = \frac{n}{d} (n > 8)$ and obtained two sets of positive integer solutions for this equation. Zhang Mingli^[2] et al. studied the solvability of two composite Euler totient functional equations:

$$\varphi(\varphi(n - \varphi(\varphi(n)))) = 8 \text{ and } \varphi(\varphi(n - \varphi(\varphi(n)))) = 10.$$

Wang Yu^[3] et al. investigated the solvability of the quaternary nonlinear equation related to the Euler totient function $\varphi(n)$ and the generalized Euler totient function $\varphi_e(n) : \varphi(abcd) = 3\varphi(a) + 3\varphi(b) + 3\varphi(c) + 6\varphi_2(d) - 11$ and obtained 84 sets of positive integer solutions for this equation. Smarandache function $S(n)$ is defined as $S(n) = \min\{m : m \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$

$n | m!\}$, Smarandache LCM function $SL(n)$ is defined as $SL(n) = \min\{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : n | [1, 2, \dots, k]\}$. Research on the functions $S(n)$ and $SL(n)$ has also yielded many results. For example, Gao Li^[4] studied the solvability of the composite functional equation $k\varphi_2(n(n+1)) + \varphi(n) = 2S(SL(n^{11}))$ and obtained all positive integer solutions $(k, n) = (1, 1), (27, 2), (26, 3), (24, 5)$ Li Xinxin^[5] et al. discussed the solvability of the arithmetic functional equation $(\varphi_2(n))^2 = S(SL(n^k))$ for $k = 2, 5$, and provided all corresponding positive integer solutions; Zhu Shanshan^[6] et al. studied $t\varphi(n) + \varphi_2(n) = S(SL(n^{13}))$ and $t\varphi(n) + \varphi_2(n) = S(SL(n^{18}))$. Based on the above research, this paper investigates the solvability of the arithmetic functional equation $t\varphi(n) + \varphi_2^2(n) = S(SL(n^{31}))$, and obtains that the equation has positive integer solutions only for $t = 1, 2, 4, 6, 10, 14, 15, 20, 23, 31$. All positive integer solutions of the equation are given as $(t, n) = (1, 1), (2, 40), (4, 48), (6, 27), (6, 54), (10, 24), (14, 16), (15, 12), (20, 9), (20, 18), (23, 8), (31, 2)$.

II. MAIN LEMMA

Lemma 1 [9] When $n \geq 3$, there is $j_2(n) = \frac{j(n)}{2}$.

Lemma 2 [9] If a positive integer $n = p_1^{l_1} p_2^{l_2} \dots p_k^{l_k}$, where $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k$ are primes, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(n) &= n \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right), \\ SL(n) &= \max\{p_1^{l_1}, p_2^{l_2}, \dots, p_k^{l_k}\}, \\ S(n) &= \max\{S(p_1^{l_1}), S(p_2^{l_2}), \dots, S(p_k^{l_k})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3 [9] For a prime p and a positive integer k , $S(p^k) \leq kp$; in particular, when $k < p$, $S(p^k) = kp$.

Lemma 4 [9] For any positive integer n , $\varphi(n) \geq \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}$.

Lemma 5 [9] For arbitrary positive integers m and n , there is $j(mn) = \frac{\gcd(m,n)j(m)j(n)}{j(\gcd(m,n))} = j(nm)$, especially when $\gcd(m,n) = 1$, there is $j(mn) = j(m)j(n)$.

III. THEOREM AND ITS PROOF

Theorem 1 Let $t, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. The arithmetic functional equation

$$t\varphi(n) + \varphi_2^2(n) = S(SL(n^{31})). \tag{3.1}$$

has positive integer solutions, and the positive integer solutions are $(t, n) = (1, 1), (2, 40), (4, 48), (6, 27), (6, 54), (10, 24), (14, 16), (15, 12), (20, 9), (20, 18), (23, 8), (31, 2)$.

Proof: When $n = 1$, $\varphi(1) = 1$, $\varphi_2(1) = 0$, $S(SL(1^{31})) = S(1) = 1$. Substituting into equation (3.1) yields $t = 1$. Thus, the positive integer solution of equation (3.1) in this case is $(t, n) = (1, 1)$. When $n = 2$, $\varphi(2) = 1$, $\varphi_2(2) = 1$, $S(SL(2^{31})) = S(2^{31}) = 32$. Substituting into equation (3.1) yields $t = 31$. Thus, the positive integer solution of equation (3.1) in this case is $(t, n) = (31, 2)$. When $n \geq 3$, let $n = p_1^{l_1} p_2^{l_2} \dots p_s^{l_s}$ be the standard prime factorization of the positive integer n . By Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we have:

$$SL(n^{31}) = \max\{p_1^{31l_1}, p_2^{31l_2}, \dots, p_s^{31l_s}\} = p^{31l} \tag{3.2}$$

$$S(SL(n^{31})) = S(p^{31l}) \leq 31lp. \tag{3.3}$$

Where p is a prime factor of n , and l is the exponent of p in the standard factorization of n . From equation (3.1) and Lemma 1, we obtain:

$$t\varphi(n) + \varphi_2^2(n) = t\varphi(n) + \frac{1}{4}\varphi^2(n) = S(SL(n^{31})) \tag{3.4}$$

Then, by Lemma 4, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} t\varphi(n) + \frac{1}{4}\varphi^2(n) &\geq t\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} + \frac{1}{4}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}\right)^2 \\ &= t\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} + \frac{1}{8}n \geq t + \frac{1}{8}n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Combining equations (3.3) and (3.5) yields:

$$31lp \geq t + \frac{1}{8}n.$$

Thus: $1 \leq t \leq 31lp, 3 \leq n \leq 248lp$. (3.6)

Let $n = p_1^{l_1} p_2^{l_2} \dots p_s^{l_s} = p^l m$ with $l \geq \frac{3}{2}$, where

$\gcd(p^l, m) = 1$. Combining (3.3), (3.4) and Lemma 5, we obtain:

$$31lp \geq tp^{l-1}(p-1)\varphi(m) + \frac{1}{4}p^{2l-2}(p-1)^2\varphi^2(m) \geq \frac{1}{4}(p-1)^2 p^{2l-2},$$

That is: $124l \geq (p-1)^2 p^{2l-3}$. (3.7)

When $p = 2$ we have: $124l \geq 2^{2l-3}$.

Solving this yields: $l \leq 6$.

if $l < \frac{3}{2}$, then $l \leq 6$ is also satisfied. We now discuss 1 by dividing it into six cases.

Case 1 If $l = 1$, since p is a prime number, we have $p \geq 2$.

When $p = 2$: From (3.6), we know $1 \leq t \leq 62$ and $3 \leq n \leq 496$. Thus, from (3.4), we obtain: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(2^{31}) = 4 \times 32 = 128$. Through calculation, we get the candidate pairs $(t, n) = (2, 15), (2, 16), (2, 20), (2, 24), (2, 30), (7, 5), (7, 8), (7, 10), (7, 12)$. Substituting these pairs into equation (3.1) for verification shows that none of them are solutions to the equation.

When $p = 3$: From (3.6), we know $1 \leq t \leq 93$ and $3 \leq n \leq 744$. Thus, from (3.4), we obtain: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(3^{31}) = 4 \times 66 = 264$. Through calculation, the equation has no solutions.

When $p = 5$: From (3.6), we know $1 \leq t \leq 155$ and $3 \leq n \leq 1240$. Thus, from (3.4), we obtain: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(5^{31}) = 4 \times 125 = 500$. Through calculation, we get the candidate pairs $(t, n) = (10, 11), (10, 12), (62, 3), (62, 4), (62, 6)$. Substituting these pairs into equation (3.1) for verification shows that none of them are solutions to the equation.

When $p = 7$: From (3.6), we know $1 \leq t \leq 217$ and $3 \leq n \leq 1736$. Thus, from (3.4), we obtain: $4t\varphi(n) +$

$\varphi^2(n) = 4S(7^{31}) = 4 \times 196 = 784$. Through calculation, we get the candidate pairs $(t, n) = (48, 5), (48, 8), (48, 10), (48, 12)$. Substituting these pairs into equation (3.1) for verification shows that none of them are solutions to the equation.

When $p = 11$: From (3.6), we know $1 \leq t \leq 341$ and $3 \leq n \leq 2728$. Thus, from (3.4), we obtain: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(11^{31}) = 4 \times 319 = 1276$. Through calculation, we get the candidate pairs $(t, n) = (9, 23), (9, 46), (159, 3), (159, 4), (159, 6)$. Substituting these pairs into equation (3.1) for verification shows that none of them are solutions to the equation.

When $p = 13$: From (3.6), we know $1 \leq t \leq 403$ and $3 \leq n \leq 3224$. Thus, from (3.4), we obtain: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(13^{31}) = 4 \times 377 = 1508$. Through calculation, we get the candidate pairs $(t, n) = (188, 3), (188, 4), (188, 6)$. Substituting these pairs into equation (3.1) for verification shows that none of them are solutions to the equation.

When $p = 17$: From (3.6), we know $1 \leq t \leq 527$ and $3 \leq n \leq 4216$. Thus, from (3.4), we obtain: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(17^{31}) = 4 \times 510 = 2040$. Through calculation, the equation has no solutions.

When $p = 19$, from (3.6) we know $1 \leq t \leq 589$ and $3 \leq n \leq 4721$. Thus, from (3.4) we obtain: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(19^{31}) = 4 \times 570 = 2280$. After calculation, this equation has no solutions.

When $p = 23$, from (6) we know $1 \leq t \leq 713$ and $3 \leq n \leq 5704$. Thus, from (3.4) we obtain: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(23^{31}) = 4 \times 690 = 2760$. After calculation, this equation has no solutions.

When $p = 29$, from (3.6) we know $1 \leq t \leq 899$ and $3 \leq n \leq 7192$. Thus, from (3.4) we obtain: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(29^{31}) = 4 \times 870 = 3480$. After calculation, this equation has no solutions.

When $p = 31$, from (3.6) we know $1 \leq t \leq 961$ and $3 \leq n \leq 7688$. Thus, from (3.4) we obtain: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(31^{31}) = 4 \times 961 = 3844$. After calculation, we get $(t, n) = (480, 3), (480, 4), (480, 6)$. Substituting these values of (t, n) into equation (3.1) for verification, we

find that none of $(t, n) = (480, 3), (480, 4), (480, 6)$ are solutions to equation (3.1).

When $p > 31$, we have $1 \leq t \leq 31p$ and $3 \leq n \leq 248p$. By Lemma 3, we get: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(p^{31}) = 124p$. Since $n = p^l m$ and $\gcd(p, m) = 1$, it follows that $(p-1) | 124$, hence $(p-1) \leq 124$ and $p \leq 125$. However, no prime p satisfying $< p \leq 125$ makes $(p-1) | 124$ hold. Therefore, equation (3.1) has no positive integer solutions when $p > 31$.

Case 2 If $l = 2$, from equation (3.7) and the fact that P is a prime, we obtain $p \leq 5$.

When $p = 2$, from (3.6) we know $1 \leq t \leq 124$ and $3 \leq n \leq 992$. Thus, from (3.4) we get: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(2^{31 \times 2}) = 4 \times 64 = 256$. After calculation, we obtain $(t, n) = (6, 15), (6, 16), (6, 20), (6, 24), (6, 30), (15, 5), (15, 8), (15, 10), (15, 12)$. Substituting these values of (t, n) into equation (3.1) for verification, we find that $(t, n) = (15, 12)$ is a solution to equation (3.1).

When $p = 3$, from (3.6) we know $1 \leq t \leq 186$ and $3 \leq n \leq 1488$. Thus, from (3.4) we get: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(3^{31 \times 2}) = 4 \times 129 = 516$. After calculation, we obtain $(t, n) = (20, 7), (20, 9), (20, 14), (20, 18), (64, 3), (64, 4), (64, 6)$. Substituting these values of (t, n) into equation (3.1) for verification, we find that $(t, n) = (20, 9), (20, 18)$ are solutions to equation (3.1).

When $p = 5$, from (3.6) we know $1 \leq t \leq 310$ and $3 \leq n \leq 2480$. From (3.4) we get: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(5^{31 \times 2}) = 4 \times 250 = 1000$. After calculation, this equation has no solutions.

Case 3 If $l = 3$, from equation (3.7) and the fact that P is a prime, we obtain $p \leq 3$.

When $p = 2$, from (3.6) we know $1 \leq t \leq 186$ and $3 \leq n \leq 1488$. Thus, from (3.4) we get: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(2^{31 \times 3}) = 4 \times 96 = 384$. After calculation, we obtain: $(t, n) = (2, 17), (2, 32), (2, 40), (2, 48), (2, 60), (10, 20), (5, 13), (5, 21), (5, 26), (5, 28), (5, 36), (5, 42), (10, 15), (10, 16), (10, 24), (10, 30), (23, 5), (23, 8), (23, 10), (23, 12)$. Substituting these values of (t, n) into equation (3.1) for

verification, we find that $(t, n) = (2, 40), (10, 24), (23, 8)$ are solutions to equation (3.1).

When $p = 3$, from (3.6) we know $1 \leq t \leq 279$ and $3 \leq n \leq 2232$. Thus, from (3.4) we get: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(3^{31 \times 3}) = 4 \times 189 = 756$. After calculation, we obtain: $(t, n) = (6, 19), (6, 27), (6, 38), (6, 54), (30, 7), (30, 9), (30, 14), (30, 18), (94, 3), (90, 4), (94, 6)$. Substituting these values of (t, n) into equation (3.1) for verification, we find that $(t, n) = (4, 48), (14, 16)$ are solutions to equation (3.1).

Case 4 If $l = 4$, it follows from Equation (3.7) and the fact that P is a prime number that $p \leq 2$.

When $p = 2$, Equation (3.6) gives $1 \leq t \leq 248$ and $3 \leq n \leq 1984$. Thus, from Equation (3.4), we obtain: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(2^{31 \times 4}) = 4 \times 128 = 512$. After calculation, the pairs are: $(t, n) = (4, 17), (4, 32), (4, 34), (4, 40), (4, 48), (4, 60), (14, 15), (14, 16), (14, 20), (14, 24), (14, 30), (31, 5), (31, 8), (31, 10), (31, 12)$. Substituting these (t, n) values into Equation (1) for verification, we find that $(t, n) = (4, 48), (14, 16)$ are solutions to the equation.

Case 5 If $l = 5$, from equation (3.7) and the fact that P is a prime, we obtain $p \leq 2$.

When $p = 2$, from (3.6) we know $1 \leq t \leq 310$ and $3 \leq n \leq 2480$. Thus, from (3.4) we get: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(2^{31 \times 5}) = 4 \times 160 = 640$. After calculation, we obtain: $(t, n) = (3, 25), (3, 33), (3, 44), (3, 50), (3, 66), (6, 17), (6, 32), (6, 34), (6, 40), (6, 48), (6, 60), (18, 15), (18, 16), (18, 20), (18, 24), (18, 30), (39, 5), (39, 8), (39, 10), (39, 12)$. Substituting these values of (t, n) into equation (3.1) for verification, we find that $(t, n) = (6, 32)$ is a solution to equation (3.1).

Case 6 If $l = 6$, from equation (3.7) and the fact that P is a prime, we obtain $p \leq 2$.

When $p = 2$, from (3.6) we know $1 \leq t \leq 372$ and $3 \leq n \leq 2976$. Thus, from (3.4) we get: $4t\varphi(n) + \varphi^2(n) = 4S(2^{31 \times 6}) = 4 \times 192 = 768$. After calculation, we obtain $(t, n) = (192, 5), (192, 8), (192, 10), (192, 12)$.

Substituting these values of (t, n) into equation (3.1) for verification, we find that none of $(t, n) = (192, 5), (192, 8), (192, 10), (192, 12)$ are solutions to equation (3.1).

In this paper, we utilize the definitions and properties of the *Smarandache* function $S(n)$, the *SmarandacheLCM* function $SL(n)$, the Euler totient function $\varphi(n)$, and the generalized Euler function $\varphi_2(n)$, along with elementary number theory methods, to study the solvability of the number-theoretic function equation $t\varphi(n) + \varphi_2^2(n) = S(SL(n^{31}))$. In subsequent research, the method presented in this paper can be employed to investigate the positive integer solutions of similar types of number-theoretic function equations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by Guizhou Provincial Basic Research Program (Natural Science) under Grant QIANKEHEJICHUMS[2025]283, and the Science and Technology Platform Project of Guizhou Province, China under Grant ZSYS [2025] 011.

REFERENCES

- [1] Niu Jiaxing, Gao Li. Solvability of the number-theoretic function equation $\varphi_8(n) = n/d$. Journal of Capital Normal University (Natural Science Edition), 2025, 46(03): 16-23.
- [2] Zhang Mingli, Gao Li. Solvability of two composite Euler function equations $\varphi(\varphi(n - \varphi(\varphi(n)))) = 8, 10$ [J]. Henan Science, 2019, 37(06): 874-877.
- [3] Wang Yu, He Yanfeng. Positive integer solutions of the Euler function equation $\varphi(abcd) = 3\varphi(a) + 3\varphi(b) + 3\varphi(c) + 6\varphi_2(d) - 11$ [J]. Journal of Yan'an University (Natural Science Edition), 2025, 44(03): 64-70.
- [4] Gao Li. Solvability of the number-theoretic function equation $k\varphi_2(n(n+1)) + \varphi(n) = 2S(SL(n^{11}))$ [J]. Journal of Guizhou University (Natural Science Edition), 2025, 42(03): 20-25.
- [5] Li Xinxin, Gao Li. Positive integer solutions of the number-theoretic function equation $(\varphi_2(n))^2 = S(SL(n^k))$ [J]. Journal of Yan'an University (Natural Science Edition), 2024, 43(03): 81-84.
- [6] Zhu Shanshan, Qu Yunyun, Zhou Jianhua, et al. Positive integer solutions of the number-theoretic function equation $t\varphi(n) + \varphi_2(n) = S(SL(n^k))$ [J]. Journal of Guizhou Normal University (Natural Science Edition), 2023, 41(02): 80-85+120.
- [7] Zhang Sibao. Solvability of the number-theoretic function equation $\varphi_2(n) = S(SL(n^k))$ [J]. Journal of Southwest University (Natural Science Edition), 2020, 42(04): 65-69.

- [8] Zhang Lixia, Zhao Xili, Guo Rui. Solvability of the number-theoretic function equation $S(SL(n)) = \varphi_2(n)$ [J]. Journal of Jiangnan University (Natural Science Edition), 2016, 44(01): 18-21.
- [9] Zhang Sibao, Guan Chunmei, Xi Xiaozhong. Solvability of an equation involving the number-theoretic function $\varphi(n)$ [J]. Journal of Anhui University (Natural Science Edition), 2018, 42(06): 46-51.
- [10] Wang Xia, Ding Henglan, Ke Cuiju. Solvability of a number-theoretic function equation related to pentagonal numbers[J]. Journal of Liaoning Normal College (Natural Science Edition), 2024, 26(03): 5-11+17.