

## Stability of Quintic Functional Equation in Matrix Normed Spaces: A Fixed Point Approach

A. Magesh<sup>1</sup>, R. Veera Sivaji<sup>2</sup>, A. Ponmana Selvan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Indian Arts & Science College, Karriyndal-Kondam, Thiruvannamalai Dt., Tamil Nadu, India–606 802

<sup>2</sup>PG Department of Mathematics, Sri Sankara Arts & Science College (Autonomous), Enathur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu, India–631 561

<sup>3</sup>PG & Research Department of Mathematics, Sacred Heart College (Autonomous), Tirupattur, Vellore Dt., Tamil Nadu, India– 635 601

stability Abstract— In this prove the Hyers-Ulam for quintic functional equation paper. we а f(3u+v) - 5f(2u+v) + f(2u+v) + 10f(u+v) - 5f(u-v) = 10f(v) + f(3u) - 3f(2u) - 27f(u) in Matrix Normed Space using Fixed point method.

Keywords— Hyers-Ulam stability, Quintic functional equation, Matrix Normed space. Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B82, 34K20, 26D10.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The first stability problem of functional equations created from a question of Ulam [21] relating to group homomorphisms and solved by Hyers [8]. The result of Hyers was generalized by Aoki [1] for additive mappings and by Rassias [19] for linear mappings. The result of Rassias has furnished a lot of influence during the past years in the development of the Hyers-Ulam cocepts. This new concept is called the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability. A generalization of Rassias's theorem was obtained by Gavruta [6] by replacing the difference cauchy equation by a general control function. In 2010, Xu et al., [22] obtained the general solution and investigated the Ulam stability problem for the following quintic functional equation

$$f(u+3v) - 5f(u+2v) + 10f(u+v) - 10f(u) + 5f(u-v) - f(u-2v) = 120f(v)$$
(1)

In 2013, Park et al., [15] introduced the following new form of quintic functional equation

$$f(3u+v) - 5f(2u+v) + f(2u+v) + 10f(u+v) - 5f(u-v) = 10f(v) + f(3u) - 3f(2u) - 27f(u)$$
(2)

It is easily verified that that the function  $f(u) = au^5$  satisfies the above functional equations. In other words, every solution of the quintic functional equation is called a quintic mapping. The abstract characterization given for linear spaces of bounded Hilbert space operators in terms of matricially normed spaces [20] implies that quotients, mapping spaces, and various tensor products of operator spaces may again be regarded as operator spaces. Owing in part to this result, the theory of operator spaces is having an increasingly significant effect on operator algebra theory.

The proof given in [20] appealed to the theory of ordered operator spaces [2]. Effros and Ruan [5] showed that one can give a purely metric proof of this important theorem by using a technique of Pisier [18] and Haagerup [7]. We will use the following notations:

 $M_n(X)$  is the set of all  $n \times n$ -matrices in X;

 $e_i \in M_{1,n}(\mathbb{C})$  is that jth component is 1 and the other components are zero;

 $E_{ii} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is that (i,j)-component is 1 and the other components are zero;

 $E_{ii} \otimes x \in M_n(X)$  is that (i,j)-component is x and the other components are

zero. For  $x \in M_n(X)$ ,  $y \in M_k(X)$ .

$$x \oplus y = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that  $(X, \{\!\!\|,\!\!\|_n\}\!)$  is a matrix normed space if and only if  $(M_n(X), \|,\!\!\|_n)$  is a normed space for each positive integer n and  $\|AxB\|_k \leq \|A\|\|B\|\|x\|_n$  holds for  $A \in M_{k,n}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $B \in M_{n,k}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $x = (x_{ij}) \in M_n(X)$ , and that  $(X, \{\!\!\|,\!\!\|_n\}\!)$  is a matrix Banach space if and only if X is a Banach space and  $(X, \{\!\!\|,\!\!\|_n\}\!)$  is a matrix normed space. A matrix normed space  $(X, \{\!\!\|,\!\!\|_n\}\!)$  is called an  $L^\infty$  -matrix normed space if  $\|x \oplus y\|_{n+k} = max\{\!\!\|x\|_n, \|y\|_k\}$  holds for all  $x \in M_n(X)$  and all  $y \in M_k(X)$ .



Volume 1, Issue 7, pp. 65-69, 2017.

Let E, F be vector spaces. For a given mapping  $h: E \to F$  and a given positive integer n, define  $h_n: M_n(E) \to M_n(F)$  by,  $h_n([x_{ij}]) = [h(x_{ij})]$  for all  $[x_{ij}] \in M_n(E)$ .

Throughout this paper, let  $(X, \|.\|_n)$  be a matrix normed space,  $(Y, \|.\|_n)$  be a matrix Banach space and let n be a fixed positive integer.

- 1.  $||E_{kl} \otimes x||_n = ||x||$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- 2.  $||x_{kl}|| \le ||[x_{ij}]||_n \le \sum_{i,j=1}^n ||x_{ij}||$ , for  $[x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .
- 3.  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x \text{ if and only if } \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{nij} = x_{ij} \text{ for } x_n = [x_{nij}], x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X).$

**Proof.** (1) Since  $E_{kl} \otimes x = e_k^* x e_l$  and  $\left\| e_k^* \right\| = \left\| e_l \right\| = 1$ ,  $\left\| E_{kl} \otimes x \right\|_n \le \left\| x \right\|$ . Since  $e_l(E_l \otimes x) e_l^* = x$ ,  $\left\| x \right\| \le \left\| E_l \otimes x \right\|$ , So  $\left\| E_l \otimes x \right\|_n \le \left\| x \right\|$ .

Since 
$$e_k (L_{kl} \otimes x)e_l = x$$
,  $\|x\| \le \|L_{kl} \otimes x\|_n$ . So,  $\|L_{kl} \otimes x\|_n = \|x\|$ .  
(2)  $e_k xe_l^* = x_{kl}$  and  $\|e_k\| = \|e_l^*\| = 1$ ,  $\|x_{kl}\| \le \|[x_{ij}]\|_n$ . Since  $[x_{ij}] = \sum_{i,j=1}^n E_{ij} \otimes x_{ij}$ ,  
 $\|\sum_{i=1}^n E_{ij} \otimes x_{ij}\| \le \sum_{i=1}^n E_{ij} \otimes x_{ij}$ .

$$[x_{ij}]|_{n} = \left\|\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_{ij} \otimes x_{ij}\right\|_{n} \le \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\|E_{ij} \otimes x_{ij}\right\|_{n} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\|x_{ij}\right\|$$

$$(3) By (2) \Rightarrow \left\|x_{nkl} - x_{kl}\right\| \le \left\|[x_{nij} - x_{ij}]\right\|_{n} = \left\|[x_{nij}] - [x_{ij}]\right\|_{n} \le \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\|x_{nij} - x_{ij}\right\|.$$
 So we get the result.

**Definition 2** [3] Let X be a set. A function  $\rho: X \times X \rightarrow [0,\infty]$  is called a generalized metric on X if  $\rho$  satisfies

- 1.  $\rho(u, v) = 0$  if and only if u = v;
- 2.  $\rho(u,v) = \rho(v,u)$  for all  $u, v \in X$ ;
- 3.  $\rho(u, w) \le \rho(u, v) + \rho(v, w)$  for all  $u, v, w \in X$ .

**Theorem 3** [3] Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a complete generalized metric space and  $L: X \to Y$  be a strictly contractive mapping with a Lipschitz constant  $\eta < 1$ . Then, for each given element  $u \in U$ , either  $\rho(L^k u, L^{k+1}u) = \infty$  for all nonnegative integer k or there exists a positive integer  $k_0$  such that

- 1.  $\rho(L^k u, L^{k+1} u) < \infty$ , for all  $k \ge k_0$ ;
- 2. the sequence  $\{L^k u\}$  converges to a fixed point  $v^*$  of L;

3.  $v^*$  is the unique fixed point of L in the set  $Y = \{v \in X \mid \rho(L^{k_0}u, v) < \infty\};$ 

4. 
$$\rho(v, v^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-\eta} \rho(v, Lv) \text{ for all } v \in Y.$$

In 1996, Isac and Rassias [9] were the first to provide applications of stability theory of functional equations for the proof of new fixed point theorems with applications. A number of mathematicians were attracted to the relevant stability results of Rassias and stimulated to investigate the stability problems of functional equations.

In 2013, Choonkil park et.al.[16, 17], were first to provide the Hyers -Ulam stability of functional equations in matrix normed spaces by using direct method and an additive functional inequality in matrix normed space by using fixed point method. In 2015, Murali and Vithya [14] were first to proved the Hyers- Ulam stability of additive and quadrative functional equations in matrix normed space by using fixed point method.

In recently, a number of authors have investigate the stability of several functional equations in different spaces via fixed point approach. In this paper, we prove the stability of a quintic functional equation (2) in matrix normed spaces by using fixed point method.

## II. STABILITY OF QUINTIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION IN MATRIX NORMED SPACES

In this section, we prove the stability of the quintic functional equation (2) in matrix normed spaces by using fixed point method.

For a mapping  $f: X \to Y$ , define  $Df: X^2 \to Y$  and  $Df_n: M_n(X^2) \to M_n(Y)$  by,



Volume 1, Issue 7, pp. 65-69, 2017.

Df(u+v) = f(3u+v) - 5f(2u+v) + f(2u+v) + 10f(u+v) - 5f(u-v) - 10f(v) - f(3u) + 3f(2u) + 27f(u)for all  $u, v \in X$  and all  $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .

**Theorem 4** Let  $\phi: X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that there exists a  $\eta < 5$  with

$$\phi(u,v) \le 2^5 \eta \phi(\frac{u}{2}, \frac{v}{2}) \tag{3}$$

for all  $u, v \in X$ . Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a mapping satisfying f(0) = 0 and

$$\left\| Df_n([x_{ij}], [y_{ij}]) \right\| \le \sum_{i,j=1}^n \phi(x_{ij}, y_{ij})$$
(4)

for all  $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ . Then there exists a unique quintic mapping  $Q: X \to Y$  such that

$$\left\| f_n([x_{ij}]) - \mathbf{Q}_n([x_{ij}]) \right\|_n \le \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{2^5 (1-\eta)} \phi(x_{ij}, 0) \qquad \forall \mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x}_{ij}] \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbf{X}).$$
(5)

**Proof.** Let n = 1. Then (4) is equivalent to

$$\left\| f(3u+v) - 5f(2u+v) + f(2u+v) + 10f(u+v) - 5f(u-v) - 10f(v) - f(3u) + 3f(2u) + 27f(u) \right\|$$
  
 
$$\leq \phi(u,v) \qquad \forall u, v \in \mathbf{X}.$$
 (6)

Letting 
$$v = 0$$
 in (6), we get  
 $\left\| f(2u) - 2^5 f(u) \right\| \le \phi(u, 0)$   $\forall u \in X.$ 
(7)

So 
$$\left\| f(u) - \frac{1}{2^5} f(2u) \right\| \le \frac{1}{2^5} \phi(u, 0) \quad \forall u \in \mathbf{X}.$$
 (8)

Consider the set  $N = \{f : X \to Y\}$  and introduce the generalized metric on N

$$\mathcal{O}(f,g) = \inf \left\{ \mu \in \mathsf{R}_+ : \left\| f(u) - g(u) \right\| \le \phi(u,0), \forall u \in X \right\},\$$

where, as usual,  $\inf \phi = +\infty$ . It is easy to show that  $(N, \rho)$  is complete (see the proof of [[12], Lemma 2.1]). Now we consider the linear mapping  $L: N \to N$  such that

$$Lf(u) = \frac{1}{2^5} f(2u) \qquad \forall u \in X.$$

Let  $f, g \in N$  be given such that d(f, g) = v. This means that

$$|f(u)-g(u)|| \le \phi(u,0)$$
  $\forall u \in \mathbf{X}.$ 

Hence  $||Lf(u) - Lg(u)|| = \left||\frac{1}{2^5}f(2u) - \frac{1}{2^5}g(2u)|| \le \eta\phi(u,0)$  for all  $u \in X$ .

Let  $f, g \in N$  be given such that  $\rho(f, g) = \lambda$  implies that  $\rho(Lf, Lg) \le \eta \lambda$ . This means that

$$\rho(Lf, Lg) \le \eta \rho(f, g) \qquad \forall f, g \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It follows from (8) that  $\rho(f, Lf) \leq \frac{1}{2^5}$ .

By Theorem 3, there exists a mapping  $Q: X \to Y$  satisfying the following:

(1)  $\mathbf{Q}$  is a fixed point of L, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{Q}(2u) = 2^{5} \mathbf{Q}(u) \qquad \forall u \in \mathbf{X}.$$
(9)

The mapping **Q** is a unique fixed point of *L* in the set  $S = \{g \in N : d(f,g) < \infty\}$ . The mapping **Q** is a unique mapping satisfies (9) such that there exists a  $\mu \in (0,\infty)$  satisfying

$$\|f(u) - g(u)\| \le \phi(u,0) \forall u \in \mathbf{X}.$$

(2)  $\rho(L^k f, \mathbf{Q}) \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ . This implies the equality



International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Science ISSN (Online): 2456-7361

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{5k}} f(2^{k}u) = Q(u) \qquad \forall u \in X.$$
(3)  $\rho(f, Q) \leq \frac{1}{1-\eta} \rho(f, Lf)$ , which implies the inequality  $\rho(f, Q) \leq \frac{1}{2^{5}(1-\eta)}$ .  
So  $||f(u) - Q(u)|| \leq \frac{1}{2^{5}(1-\eta)} \phi(u, 0) \qquad \forall u \in X.$ 
(10)  
By (6),  

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{5k}} ||f(2^{k}(3u+v)) - 5f(2^{k}(2u+v)) + f(2^{k}(2u+v)) + 10f(2^{k}(u+v))$$

$$- 5f(2^{k}(u-v)) - 10f(2^{k}(v)) - f(2^{k}(3u)) + 3f(2^{k}(2u)) + 27f(2^{k}(u))|| \leq \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{5}K} \phi(2^{k}u, 2^{k}v)$$
Q(3u+v) - 5Q(2u+v) + Q(2u+v) + 10Q(u+v) - 5Q(u-v) - 10Q(v) - Q(3u) + 3Q(2u) + 27Q(u) \to 0 \forall
$$u, v \in X .$$
But the set of the se

So, the mapping  $Q: X \to Y$  is quintic. By Lemma 1 and (10),

$$\left\|f_{n}([x_{ij}]) - \mathsf{Q}_{n}([x_{ij}])\right\| \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\|f(x_{ij}) - C(x_{ij})\right\| \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2^{5}(1-\eta)} \phi(x_{ij},0)$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(x)$ . Thus  $Q: X \to Y$  is a unique quintic mapping satisfying (5).

**Corollary 1** Let  $q, \sigma$  be positive real numbers with q < 5. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a mapping such that

$$\left\| Df_n([x_{ij}], [y_{ij}]) \right\|_n \le \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma(\left\| x_{ij} \right\|^q + \left\| y_{ij} \right\|^q)$$
(11)

for all  $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ . Then there exists a unique quintic mapping  $Q: X \to Y$  such that

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - \mathbf{Q}_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \le \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\sigma}{2^5 - 2^q} \|x_{ij}\|^q \quad \forall \ x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X).$$

**Proof.** The proof follows from Theorem 4 by taking  $\phi(u, v) = \sigma(||u||^q + ||v||^q)$  for all  $u, v \in X$  Then we can choose  $\beta = 2^{q-5}$ , and we get the desired result.

**Theorem 5** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a mapping satisfying (4) for which there exists a function  $\phi: X^2 \to [0, \infty)$  such that there exists a  $\eta < 5$  with

$$\phi(u,v) \le \frac{\eta}{2^5} \phi(tu,tv)$$

for all  $u, v \in X$ . Then there exists a unique quintic mapping  $Q: X \to Y$  such that

$$\left\|f_{n}([x_{ij}]) - \mathsf{Q}_{n}([x_{ij}])\right\|_{n} \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\eta}{2^{5}(1-\eta)} \phi(x_{ij},0) \qquad \forall \mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x}_{ij}] \in \mathsf{M}_{n}(\mathsf{X}).$$
(12)

**Proof.** Let  $(N, \rho)$  be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 4. Now we consider the linear mapping

 $L: N \to N$  such that  $Lf(u) = 2^5 f(\frac{u}{2})$  for all  $u \in X$ . It follows from (7) that  $\rho(f, Lf) \le \frac{\eta}{2^5}$ . So,  $\rho(f, \Omega) \le \frac{\eta}{2^5}$ 

$$\rho(f,\mathbf{Q}) \le \frac{\eta}{2^5(1-\eta)}$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4. **Corollary 2** Let  $q, \sigma$  be positive real numbers with q > 5. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a mapping satisfying (11). Then there exists a unique quintic mapping  $Q: X \to Y$  such that



$$\left\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - \mathbf{Q}_n([x_{ij}])\right\|_n \le \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\sigma}{2^q - 2^5} \left\|x_{ij}\right\|^q \qquad x = [x_{ij}] M_n(X).$$

**Proof.** The proof follows from Theorem 5 by taking  $\phi(u, v) = \sigma(||u||^q + ||v||^q)$  for all  $u, v \in X$  Then we can choose  $\beta = 2^{5-q}$ , and we get the desired result.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aoki, "On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces," Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan, vol. 2, issue 1-2, pp. 64-66, 1950.
- [2] M. D. Choi and E. Effros, "Injectivity and operator spaces," Journal of Functional Analysis, vol. 24, issue 2, pp. 156-209, 1977.
- [3] J. Diaz and B. Margolis, "A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space," Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 74, issue 2, pp. 305-309, 1968.
- [4] E. Effros, Z. J. Ruan, "On matricially normed spaces," Pacific Journal of Mathematics, vol. 132, issue 2, pp. 243-264, 1988.
- [5] E. Effros, Z. J. Ruan, "On the abstract characterization of operator spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 119, issue 2, pp. 579-584, 1993.
- [6] P. Gavruta, "A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 184, issue 3, pp. 431-436, 1994.
- [7] U. Haagerup, "Decomp. of completely bounded maps," unpublished manuscript.
- [8] D. H. Hyers, "On the stability of the linear functional equation," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 27, issue 4, pp. 222-224, 1941.
- [9] G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, "Stability of  $\phi$  -additive mappings: Applications to nonlinear analysis," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 19, issue 2, pp. 219-228, 1996.
- [10] J. R. Lee, D. Y. Shin and C. Park, "Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations in matrix normed spaces," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2013:22, 2013.
- [11] J. R. Lee, D. Y. Shin and C. Park, "An additive functional inequality in matrix normed spaces," *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 1009-1022, 2013.
- [12] D. Mihet and V. Radu, "On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 343, issue 1, pp. 567-572, 2008.
- [13] R. Murali and V. Vithya, "The generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a cubic functional equation," *International Journal of Differential Equations and Applications*, vol. 13, issue 3, pp. 81-91, 2014.
- [14] R. Murali and V. Vithya, "Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations in matrix normed spaces: A fixed point approach," Assian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research, vol. 4, issue 3, pp. 155-163, 2015.
- [15] C. Park, J. Cui, and M. Eshaghi Gordji, "Orthogonality and quintic functional equations," Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, vol. 29, issue 7, pp. 1381-1390, 2013.
- [16] C. Park, D. Y. Shin and J. R. Lee, "An additive functional inequality in matrix normed spaces," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 16, issue 4, pp. 1009-1022, 2013.
- [17] C. Park, D. Y. Shin, and J. R. Lee, "Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations in matrix normed spaces," Journal of Inequalities & Applications, 2013.
- [18] G. Pisier, "Grothendieck's theorem for non-commutative C\*-algebras with an appendix on Grothendieck's constants," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 29, issue 3, pp. 397-415, 1978.
- [19] Th. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 72, ispue 2, pp. 297-300, 1978.
- [20] Z. J. Ruan, "Subspaces of C\*-algebras," Journal of Functional Analysis, vol. 76, issue 1, pp. 217-230, 1988.
- [21] S. M. Ulam, Problems in Modern Mathematics, Science Editions, Wiley, NewYork, 1964.
- [22] T. Z. Xu, J. M. Rassias, M. J. Rassias, and W. X. Xu, "A fixed point approach to the stability of quintic and sextic functional equations in quasi β normed spaces," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2010.